

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/621,252	07/16/2003		Kuo-Feng Chen	67,200-569A		
7590 09/08/2004				EXAMINER		
Randy W. Tung Tung & Associates				STINSON, FRANKIE L		
Suite 120				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
838 W. Long Lake Road Bloomfield Hills, MI 48302			1746			
				DATE MAILED: 09/08/2004	1	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		A1:	4! N1 -		-	_				
		Applica	tion No.	Applicant(s)	~ , c	_				
	085 4-45 0	10/621,	252	KUO-FENG ET AL.						
	Office Action Summary	Examin	er	Art Unit		_				
		· ·	E L. STINSON	1746						
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun	ication appears on t	he cover sheet wit	h the correspondence add	ress					
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI nsions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comme period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3) period for reply is specified above, the maximum stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months a ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no equinication. O) days, a reply within the statutory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the apply.	event, however, may a re atutory minimum of thirty will expire SIX (6) MONT polication to become ABA	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this com	nmunication.					
Status										
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) file	d on 19 July 2004.								
		2b)☐ This action is	non-final.							
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.									
Disposit	on of Claims									
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 21-26 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 21-26 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restrict	e withdrawn from c								
Applicati	on Papers									
9)[The specification is objected to by the	e Examiner.								
10)[The drawing(s) filed on is/are:	a) accepted or b) objected to b	y the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any object									
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including									
11)[The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner. N	lote the attached	Office Action or form PTO	-152.					
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119									
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim f All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority of 2. Certified copies of the priority of 3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internation ee the attached detailed Office action	documents have be documents have be of the priority docum nal Bureau (PCT Ru	en received. en received in Ap ents have been re le 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National St	age					
Attachment	(s)									
	of References Cited (PTO-892)	TO 040)	4) Interview Sur							
3) 🔲 Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or F No(s)/Mail Date			Mail Date ormal Patent Application (PTO-19	52)					

Application/Control Number: 10/621,252

Art Unit: 1746

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 21-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Abe et al. in view of Kanno (U. S. Pat. No. 5,934,566)

Re claim 21, Abe is cited disclosing an apparatus comprising:

a wafer jig (see fig. 13) constructed and arranged to carry the semiconductor wafer therein, and wherein the wafer jig includes an opening therein for exposing a top surface of the semiconductor wafer;

a wetting solution connected to a spray module and pump (see col. 5, line 24-28, where pump has been defined by the examiner as "an apparatus or machine for moving or altering the pressure of fluids in confined spaces, as by suction or pressure", Random House College Dictionary, 1980. The pressurized tank is clearly a pump by definition and is clearly the functional equivalent or applicant's pump MPEP 2144.06 SUBSTITUTING EQUIVALENTS KNOWN FOR THE SAME PURPOSE) for supplying wetting solution through a spray module and onto a semiconductor wafer carried in the wafer jig and wherein the spray module includes a plurality of spray nozzles (711, 712, 721, 722) that differs from the claim only in the recitation of the wetting solution supply tank, the nozzles constructed and arranged to spray wetting solution having particles less than 100 micrometers and the pre-treating the wafer prior to electroplating. The patent to Kanno is cited disclosing in an apparatus for treating a wafer where there is provided a supply tank (not shown, see

Art Unit: 1746

col. 5, lines 24-28). It therefore would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify the apparatus of Abe, to include a tank for the wetting solution as taught but Kanno, since this arrangement is typical in the art and since Abe disclose that the "whole automatic washing system is disposed within the housing 10" (col. 3, lines 6-100). In regard to the wetting solution having particles less than 100 micrometers. Kanno is again cited disclosing the particle size as claimed. It therefore would have been obvious to include with the spraying means (711, 712, 721 and 722) in Abe, means for providing a wetting solution with particles/droplets (see col. 11, lines 41-45) since Kanno discloses that the solution with particles/droplets provides a more effective wash (col. 11, lines 12-18). As for the intended use of "pre-treating a semiconductor prior to electroplating", the same has not been afforded the effect of a limitation in that it is not necessary to give life, meaning and vitality to the claim and the fails to recite any limitations to limit the apparatus for pre-treating semiconductor prior to electroplating only. Re claims 22 and 23, Abe discloses the spray module and jig movable/reciprocated relative to each other (see Abe col. 11, lines 27-51). Re claim 24, Abe disclose the robot (60). Re claim 25, Kanno discloses the nozzles as claimed. Re claim26, to have the nozzles arranged in the nozzles arranged in a set of three is deemed to be an obvious matter of design in that the same is considered to be substituted equivalents in view of the modules as taught by either Abe or Kanno.

3. Applicant's arguments filed July 19, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. In regard to the remarks pertaining to the wafer being submerged in an electroplating solution, please note that no claim has been made to the same, and

Application/Control Number: 10/621,252

Art Unit: 1746

the under bump in metallurgy and seed layer is immaterial. The arguments with respect to the Germany'272, Japan'430, Martens, Czaja and Fishkin references are rendered moot in that the same are no longer being applied against the claims. As for Abe spraying ammonia water, the same also sprays pure water (see col. 8, lines 18-28). In regard to the remarks on the Kanno reference, namely that the same uses a pressurized tank, as per the definition as recited in paragraph 2 above, the same can be broadly be defined as a pump. It should also be noted that it was never intended to modify the apparatus of Kanno, but to modify Abe in view of the teachings of Kanno. The rotation feature is could also be described as relative movement between the spray module and holder.

- 4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. In Laurie, note the reciprocating means.
- 5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Application/Control Number: 10/621,252

Art Unit: 1746

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to FRANKIE L. STINSON whose telephone number is

(571) 272-1308. The examiner can normally be reached M-F from 5:30 a.m. to 2:00

p.m. and some Saturdays from 5:30 a.m. to 11:30 a.m.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding

is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should

be directed to TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700 (571) 272-1700.

Any inquiry for missing parts of this Office Action (copies of references, pages,

forms etc.), contact the TEAM LEADER Ms. Nicol Scott (571) 272-1045.

fls

FRANKIE L. STINSON

Page 5

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1746